



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

VI. *A numerical Table of elective Attractions; with Remarks on the Sequences of double Decompositions.* By Thomas Young, M. D. For. Sec. R. S.

Read February 9, 1809.

ATTEMPTS have been made, by several chemists, to obtain a series of numbers, capable of representing the mutual attractive forces of the component parts of different salts; but these attempts have hitherto been confined within narrow limits, and have indeed been so hastily abandoned, that some very important consequences, which necessarily follow from the general principle of a numerical representation, appear to have been entirely overlooked. It is not impossible, that there may be some cases, in which the presence of a fourth substance, besides the two ingredients of the salt, and the medium in which they are dissolved, may influence the precise force of their mutual attraction, either by affecting the solubility of the salt, or by some other unknown means, so that the number, naturally appropriate to the combination, may no longer correspond to its affections; but there is reason to think that such cases are rare; and when they occur, they may easily be noticed as exceptions to the general rules. It appears therefore, that nearly all the phenomena of the mutual actions of a hundred different salts may be correctly represented by a hundred numbers, while, in the usual manner of relating every case as a different experiment, above two thousand separate articles would be required.

Having been engaged in the collection of a few of the principal facts relating to chemistry and pharmacy, I was induced to attempt the investigation of a series of these numbers; and I have succeeded, not without some difficulty, in obtaining such as appear to agree sufficiently well with all the cases of double decompositions which are fully established, the exceptions not exceeding twenty, out of about twelve hundred cases enumerated by FOURCROY. The same numbers agree in general with the order of simple elective attractions, as usually laid down by chemical authors; but it was of so much less importance to accommodate them to these, that I have not been very solicitous to avoid a few inconsistencies in this respect, especially as many of the bases of the calculation remain uncertain, and as the common tables of simple elective attractions are certainly imperfect, if they are considered as indicating the order of the independent attractive forces of the substances concerned. Although it cannot be expected that these numbers should be accurate measures of the forces which they represent, yet they may be supposed to be tolerable approximations to such measures, at least if any two of them are nearly in the true proportion, it is probable that the rest cannot deviate very far from it: thus, if the attractive force of the phosphoric acid for potash is about eight tenths of that of the sulfuric acid for barita, that of the phosphoric acid for barita must be about nine tenths as great; but they are calculated only to agree with a certain number of phenomena, and will probably require many alterations, as well as additions, when all other similar phenomena shall have been accurately investigated.

There is, however, a method of representing the facts, which

have served as the bases of the determination, independently of any hypothesis, and without being liable to the contingent necessity of any future alteration, in order to make room for the introduction of the affections of other substances; and this method enables us also to compare, upon general principles, a multitude of scattered phenomena, and to reject many which have been mentioned as probable, though doubtful, with the omission of a very few only which have been stated as ascertained. This arrangement simply depends on the supposition, that the attractive force, which tends to unite any two substances, may always be represented by a certain constant quantity.

From this principle it may be inferred, in the first place, that there must be a sequence in the simple elective attractions. For example, there must be an error in the common tables of elective attractions, in which magnesia stands above ammonia under the sulfuric acid, and below it under the phosphoric, and the phosphoric acid stands above the sulfuric under magnesia, and below it under ammonia, since such an arrangement implies, that the order of the attractive forces is this; phosphate of magnesia, sulfate of magnesia, sulfate of ammonia, phosphate of ammonia, and again phosphate of magnesia; which forms a circle, and not a sequence. We must therefore either place magnesia above ammonia under the phosphoric acid, or the phosphoric acid below the sulfuric under magnesia; or we must abandon the principle of a numerical representation in this particular case.

In the second place, there must be an agreement between the simple and double elective attractions. Thus, if the fluoric acid stands above the nitric under barita, and below it under

lime, the fluato of barita cannot decompose the nitrate of lime, since the previous attractions of these two salts are respectively greater, than the divellent attractions of the nitrate of barita and the fluato of lime. Probably, therefore, we ought to place the fluoric acid below the nitric under barita; and we may suppose, that when the fluoric acid has appeared to form a precipitate with the nitrate of barita, there has been some fallacy in the experiment.

The third proposition is somewhat less obvious, but perhaps of greater utility: there must be a continued sequence in the order of double elective attractions; that is, between any two acids, we may place the different bases in such an order, that any two salts, resulting from their union, shall always decompose each other, unless each acid be united to the base nearest to it: for example, sulfuric acid, barita, potass, soda, ammonia, strontia, magnesia, glycina, alumina, zirconia, lime, phosphoric acid. The sulfate of potass decomposes the phosphate of barita, because the difference of the attractions of barita for the sulfuric and phosphoric acids is greater than the difference of the similar attractions of potass; and in the same manner the difference of the attractions of potass is greater than that of the attractions of soda; consequently the difference of the attractions of barita must be much greater than that of the attractions of soda, and the sulfate of soda must decompose the phosphate of barita: and in the same manner it may be shown, that each base must preserve its relations of priority or posteriority to every other in the series. It is also obvious that, for similar reasons, the acids may be arranged in a continued sequence between the different bases; and when all the decompositions of a certain number of salts

have been investigated, we may form two corresponding tables, one of the sequences of the bases with the acids, and another of those of the acids with the different bases; and if either or both of the tables are imperfect, their deficiencies may often be supplied, and their errors corrected, by a repeated comparison with each other.

In forming tables of this kind from the cases collected by FOURCROY, I have been obliged to reject some facts, which were evidently contradictory to others, and these I have not thought it necessary to mention; a few, which are positively related, and which are only inconsistent with the principle of numerical representation, I have mentioned in notes; but many others, which have been stated as merely probable, I have omitted without any notice. In the table of simple elective attractions, I have retained the usual order of the different substances; inserting again in parentheses such of them as require to be transposed, in order to avoid inconsequences in the simple attractions: I have attached to each combination marked with an asterisc the number deduced from the double decompositions, as expressive of its attractive force; and where the number is inconsistent with the corrected order of the simple elective attractions, I have also inclosed it in a parenthesis. Such an apparent inconsistency may perhaps in some cases be unavoidable, as it is possible that the different proportions of the masses concerned, in the operations of simple and compound decomposition, may sometimes cause a real difference in the comparative magnitude of the attractive forces. Those numbers, to which no asterisc is affixed, are merely inserted by interpolation, and they can only be so far employed for determining the mutual actions of the salts to which they belong,

as the results which they indicate would follow from the comparison of any other numbers, intermediate to the nearest of those, which are more correctly determined. I have not been able to obtain a sufficient number of facts relating to the metallic salts, to enable me to comprehend many of them in the tables.

It has been usual to distinguish the attractions, which produce the double decompositions of salts, into necessary and superfluous attractions; but the distinction is neither very accurate, nor very important: they might be still further divided, accordingly as two, three, or the whole of the four ingredients concerned are capable of simply decomposing the salt in which they are not contained; and if two, accordingly as they are previously united or separate; such divisions would however merely tend to divert the attention from the natural operation of the joint forces concerned.

It appears to be not improbable, that the attractive force of any two substances might, in many cases, be expressed by the quotient of two numbers appropriate to the substances, or rather by the excess of that quotient above unity; thus the attractive force of many of the acids for the three principal alkalies might probably be correctly represented in this manner; and where the order of attractions is different, perhaps the addition of a second, or of a second and third quotient, derived from a different series of numbers, would afford an accurate determination of the relative force of attraction, which would always be the weaker, as the two substances concerned stood nearer to each other in these orders of numbers; so that, by affixing, to each simple substance, two, three, or at most

four numbers only, its attractive powers might be expressed in the shortest and most general manner.

I have thought it necessary to make some alterations in the orthography generally adopted by chemists, not from a want of deference to their individual authority, but because it appears to me that there are certain rules of etymology, which no modern author has a right to set aside. According to the orthography universally established throughout the language, without any material exceptions, our mode of writing Greek words is always borrowed from the Romans, whose alphabet we have adopted: thus the Greek vowel Υ , when alone, is always expressed in Latin and in English by Y, and the Greek diphthong $\text{O}\Upsilon$ by U, the Romans having no such diphthong as OU or OY. The French have sometimes deviated from this rule, and if it were excusable for any, it would be for them, since their *u* and *ou* are pronounced exactly as the Υ and $\text{O}\Upsilon$ of the Greeks probably were: but we have no such excuse. Thus the French have used the term *acoustique*, which some English authors have converted into “acoustics;” our anatomists, however, speak, much more correctly, of the “acoustic” nerve. Instead of glucine, we ought certainly, for a similar reason, to write glycine; or glycina, if the names of the earths are to end in *a*. Barytes, as a single Greek word, means weight, and must be pronounced bárytes; but as the name of a stone, accented on the second syllable, it must be written barites; and the pure earth may properly be called barita. Yttria I have altered to itria, because no Latin word begins with a Y.

Table of the Sequences of the Bases with the different Acids.

In all mixtures of the aqueous solutions of two salts, each acid remains united to the base which stands nearest to it in this table.

SULFURIC ACID.

Barita	Barita	Barita	Barita	Barita	Potass	Barita	Lead
Strontia	Strontia	Potass	Potass	Potass	Soda	Strontia	Mercury
Lime	Lime	Soda	Soda	Soda	Strontia	Lime	Iron
(Silver ?)	Potass	Ammonia	Strontia	Strontia	Ammonia (4)	Potass	Potass
(Mercury ?)	Soda	Strontia	Ammonia	Ammonia	Magnesia (4)	Soda	Ammonia
Potass	(Mercury ?)	Magnesia (3)	Magnesia	Magnesia	Glycina	Ammonia (5)	Strontia
Soda	(Iron ?)	Glycina	Glycina	Glycina	Lime	Lime	Glycina
{ Zinc	Magnesia	Alumina	Alumina	Alumina	Magnesia	Ammonia	Alumina
{ Iron	Ammonia (2)	Zirconia	Zirconia	Zirconia	Lime	Glycina	Zirconia
{ Copper	Glycina	Lime	Lime	Lime	Zirconia	Zirconia	
Magnesia	Alumina (2)						
Ammonia (1)	Zirconia						
Glycina	(Copper ?)						
Alumina							
Zirconia							
NITRIC	MURIATIC	PHOSPHORIC	FLUORIC	SULFUROUS	BORACIC	CARBONIC	(NITROUS)
							(PHOSPHOROUS)
							(ACETIC)

(1) Ammonia stands above magnesia when cold. (2) A triple salt is formed. (3) Perhaps magnesia ought to stand lower. (4) A compound salt is formed, and when hot, magnesia stands above ammonia. (5) FOURCROY says, that sulfate of strontia is decomposed by borate of ammonia. (6) With heat, ammonia stands below lime and magnesia.

NITRIC
ACID.

Barita
Potass
Soda
Strontia
Lime
Magnesia (7)
Ammonia (7)
Glycina
Alumina
Zirconia
MURIATIC

Potass
Soda
Ammonia
Magnesia
Glycina
Alumina
Zirconia (8)
Barita
Strontia
Lime
PHOSPHORIC

NITRIC AND MURIATIC ACIDS.

Barita
Potass
Soda
Ammonia
Magnesia
Glycina
Alumina
Zirconia
Strontia (9)
Lime
FLUORIC

Potass
Soda
Ammonia
Magnesia
Glycina
Alumina
Zirconia
Barita
Strontia
Lime
SULFUROUS

Barita (10)
Potass
Soda
Ammonia
Magnesia
Glycina
Alumina
Zirconia
Strontia
Lime
Glycina
Alumina
Zirconia
CARBONIC

(7) A triple salt is formed. (8) FOURCROY says, that the muriate of zirconia decomposes the phosphates of barita and strontia. (9) According to FOURCROY's account, the fluete of strontia decomposes the muriates of ammonia, and of all the bases below it; but he says in another part of the same volume, that the fluete of strontia is an unknown salt. (10) According to FOURCROY's account of these combinations, barita should stand immediately below ammonia in both of these columns. (11) With heat, the carbonate of lime decomposes the muriate of ammonia.

PHOSPHORIC ACID.

Barita
Lime
Potass
Soda
Strontia
Magnesia
Ammonia
Glycina
Alumina
Zirconia
FLUORIC

Lime
Barita
Potass
Soda
Strontia
Magnesia
Ammonia
Glycina
Alumina
Zirconia
SULFUROUS

Barita
Lime
Potass
Soda
Strontia
Ammonia (12)
Magnesia
Glycina
Alumina
Zirconia
BORACIC

Potass
Soda
Barita
Lime (13)
Strontia
Ammonia
Magnesia
Glycina
Alumina
Zirconia
CARBONIC

Barita
Lime
Potass
Soda
Strontia
Magnesia
Glycina?
Alumina
Zirconia
(PHOSPHOROUS)

(12) According to FOURCROY, the phosphate of ammonia decomposes the borate of magnesia. (13) FOURCROY says, that the carbonate of lime decomposes the phosphates of potass and of soda.

FLUORIC ACID.

Lime
Potass
Soda
Magnesia
Ammonia
Glycina
Alumina
Zirconia
Strontia
Barita
SULFUROUS

Lime
Barita
Strontia
Potass
Soda
Ammonia
Magnesia
Glycina
Alumina
Zirconia
BORACIC

Potass
Soda
Lime
Barita
Strontia
Ammonia (14)
Magnesia
Glycina
Alumina
Zirconia
CARBONIC

(14) According to FOURCROY, the carbonate of ammonia decomposes the fluates of barita and strontia.

SULFUROUS ACID.

BORACIC ACID.

Barita	Potass	Lime	Zirconia	Potass
Strontia	Soda	Strontia	Alumina	Soda
Potass	Barita (15)	Barita	Glycina	Lime
Soda	Strontia	Zirconia	Ammonia	Barita
Ammonia	Ammonia	Alumina	Magnesia	Strontia
Magnesia	Lime	Glycina	Strontia	Magnesia
Lime	Magnesia	Magnesia	Soda	Ammonia
Glycina	Glycina	Ammonia	Potass	Glycina
Alumina	Alumina	Soda	Barita	Alumina
Zirconia	Zirconia	Potass	Lime	Zirconia
BORACIC	CARBONIC	(NITROUS)	(PHOSPHOROUS?)	CARBONIC

(15) FOURCROY says, that the sulfite of barita decomposes the carbonate of ammonia.

Table of the Sequences of the Acids with different Bases.

BARITA.				STRONTIA.					LIME.				POTASS SODA	MAG- NESIA.	
Sulfuric	S	C	S	S	C	S	P	S	C	P	P	P	MAGN.=AMM.	S	B
Nitric	N	S	P	N	SS	P	S	P	P	F	F	F	GLYCINA	N	C
Muriatic	M	P	SS	M	F	SS	SS	SS	F	B	B	SS	ALUMINA	M	P
Phosphoric	SS	SS	N	SS	P	F	F	F	SS	SS	C	S	ZIRCONIA	P	F
Sulfurous	P	N	M	C	B	B	B	B	S	S	SS	B	Each with every subsequent base in this order	F	SS
Fluoric	C	M	F	B	S	C	C	N	M	C	S	N		SS	S
Boracic	B	F	B	F	M	N	N	M	N	N	N	M		B	N
Carbonic	F	B	C	P	N	M	M	C	N	M	M	C		C	M
STRONTIA	LM	PT	MG	LM	PT	MG	AM	GL	PT	MG	AM	GL		AM	
		SD	AM		SD			AL	SD			AL			
			GL					ZR				ZR			
			AL												
			ZR												

The comparative use of this table may be understood from an example: if we suppose that the nitrate of barita decomposes the borate of ammonia, we must place the boracic acid above the nitric, between barita and ammonia in this table, and consequently barita below ammonia, between the fluoric and boracic in the former: hence the boracic and fluoric acids must also be transposed between barita and strontia, and between barita and potass; or if we place the fluoric still higher than the boracic in the first instance, we must place barita below ammonia between the nitric and fluoric acids, where indeed it is not impossible that it ought to stand.

Numerical Table of elective Attractions.

BARITA.	STRONTIA.	POTASS.	SODA.	LIME.
Sulfuric acid 1000*	Sulfuric acid 903*	Sulfuric acid 801*	Oxalic acid 885*	Oxalic acid 960
Oxalic 950	Phosphoric 827*	894*	Sulfuric 868*	
Succinic 930	Oxalic 825	Nitric 812*	804*	Tartaric 867
Fluoric	Tartaric 757	Muriatic 804*	797*	Succinic 866
Phosphoric 906*	Fluoric	Phosphoric		Phosphoric 865*
Mucic 900	Nitric 754*	801*	795*	Mucic 860
Nitric 849*	Muriatic 748*	Suberic? 745	740	Nitric 741*
Muriatic 840*	(Succinic) 740	Fluoric 671*	666*	Muriatic 736*
Suberic 800	(Fluoric) 703*	Oxalic 650	645	Suberic 735
Citric	Succinic	Tartaric 616	611	Fluoric 734*
Tartaric 760	Citric? 618	Arsenic 614	609	Arsenic 733 $\frac{3}{4}$ *
Arsenic 733 $\frac{1}{2}$	Lactic 603	Succinic 612	607	Lactic 732
(Citric) 730	Sulfurous 527*	Citric 610	605	Citric 731
Lactic 729	Acetic	Lactic 609	604	Malic 700
(Fluoric) 706*	Arsenic (733 $\frac{1}{4}$)	Benzoic 608	603	Benzoic 590
Benzoic 597	Boracic	Sulfurous 488*	484*	Acetic
Acetic 594	(Acetic) 480	Acetic 486	482	Boracic 537*
Boracic (515)*	Nitrous? 430	Mucic 484	480	Sulfurous 516*
Sulfurous 592*	Carbonic 419*	Boracic 482*	479*	(Acetic) 470
Nitrous 450		Nitrous 440	437	Nitrous 425
Carbonic 420*		Carbonic 306*	304*	Carbonic 423*
Prussic 400		Prussic 300	298	Prussic 290

MAGNESIA.	AMMONIA.	GLYCINA?	ALUMINA.	ZIRCONIA?
Oxalic acid 820	Sulfuric acid 808*	Sulfuric acid 718*	709*	700*
Phosphoric	Nitric 731*	Nitric 642*	634*	626*
Sulfuric 810*	Muriatic 729*	Muriatic 639*	632*	625*
(Phosphoric) 736*	Phosphoric 728*	Oxalic 600	594	588
Fluoric	Suberic? 720	Arsenic 580	575	570
Arsenic 733	Fluoric 613*	Suberic? 535	530	525
Mucic 732 $\frac{1}{2}$	Oxalic 611	Fluoric 534*	529*	524*
Succinic 732 $\frac{1}{4}$ *	Tartaric 609	Tartaric 520	515	510
Nitric 732*	Arsenic 607	Succinic 510	505	500
Muriatic 728*	Succinic 605	Mucic 425	420	415
Suberic? 700	Citric 603	Citric 415	410	405
(Fluoric) 620*	Lactic 601	Phosphoric (648)*	(642)*	(636)*
Tartaric 618	Benzoic 599	Lactic 410	405	400
Citric 615	Sulfurous 433*	Benzoic 400	395	390
Malic? 600?	Acetic 432	Acetic 395	391	387
Lactic 575	Mucic 431	Boracic 388*	385*	382*
Benzoic 560	Boracic 430*	Sulfurous 355*	351*	347*
Acetic	Nitrous 400	Nitrous 340	336	332
Boracic 459*	Carbonic 339*	Carbonic 325*	323*	321*
Sulfurous 439*	Prussic 270	Prussic 260	258	256
(Acetic) 430				
Nitrous 410				
Carbonic 366*				
Prussic 280				

Acids.

SULFURIC.		NITRIC.		MURIATIC.		PHOSPHORIC.			
Barita	1000*	Barita	849*	Barita	840*	Barita	906*		
Strontia	903*	Potass	812*	Potass	804*	Strontia	827*		
Potass	894*	Soda	804*	Soda	797*	Lime	(865)*		
Soda	885*	Strontia	754*	Strontia	748*	Potass	801*		
Lime	868*	Lime	741*	Lime	736*	Soda	795*		
Magnesia	810*	Magnesia	732*	Ammonia	729*	Ammonia	(728)*		
Ammonia	808*	Ammonia	731*	Magnesia	728*	Magnesia	736*		
Glycina	718*	Glycina	642*	Glycina	639*	Glycina	648*		
Itria	712	Alumina	634*	Alumina	632*	Alumina	642*		
Alumina	709*	Zirconia	626*	Zirconia	625*	Zirconia	636*		
Zirconia	700*								
FLUORIC.		OXALIC.		TARTARIC.		ARSENIC.		TUNGSTIC.	
Lime	734*	Lime	960	867	Lime	733 $\frac{3}{4}$	Lime	733 $\frac{3}{4}$	
Barita	706*	Barita	950	760	Barita	733 $\frac{1}{2}$	Barita	733 $\frac{1}{2}$	
Strontia	703*	Strontia	825	757	Strontia	733 $\frac{1}{4}$	Strontia	733 $\frac{1}{4}$	
Magnesia	(620)*	Magnesia	820	618	Magnesia	733	Magnesia	733	
Potass	671*	Potass	650	616	Potass	614	Potass	614	
Soda	666*	Soda	645	611	Soda	609	Soda	609	
Ammonia	613*	Ammonia	611	609	Ammonia	607	Ammonia	607	
Glycina	534*	Glycina?	600	520	Glycina	580	Glycina	580	
Alumina	529*	Alumina	594	515	Alumina	575	Alumina	575	
Zirconia	524*	Zirconia?	588	510	Zirconia	570	Zirconia	570	
SUCCINIC.		SUBERIC.		CAMPHORIC.		CITRIC.			
Barita	930	Barita	800	Lime		Lime	731		
Lime	866	Potass	745	Potass		Barita	730		
Strontia?	740	Soda	740	Soda		Strontia	618		
(Magnesia)	732 $\frac{1}{4}$	Lime	735	Barita		Magnesia	615		
Potass	612	Ammonia	720	Ammonia		Potass	610		
Soda	607	Magnesia	700	Glycina?		Soda	605		
Ammonia	605	Glycina?	535?	Alumina		Ammonia	603		
Magnesia		Alumina	530	Zirconia?		Glycina?	415?		
Glycina?	510	Zirconia?	525?	Magnesia		Alumina	410		
Alumina	505					Zirconia	405		
Zirconia?	500								
LACTIC.		BENZOIC.		SULFUROUS.		ACETIC.			
Barita	729	White oxid of arse-		Barita	592 *	Barita	594		
Potass	609	nic		Lime	516 *	Potass	486		
Soda	604	Potass	608	Potass	488 *	Soda	482		
Strontia	603	Soda	603	Soda	484 *	Strontia	480		
Lime	(732)	Ammonia	599	Strontia	(527)*	Lime	470		
Ammonia	601	Barita	597	Magnesia	439 *	Ammonia	432		
Magnesia	575	Lime	590	Ammonia	433 *	Magnesia	430		
Metallic oxids		Magnesia	560	Glycina	355 *	Metallic oxids			
Glycina	410	Glycina?	400?	Alumina	351 *	Glycina	395		
Alumina	405	Alumina	395	Zirconia	347 *	Alumina	391		
Zirconia	400	Zirconia?	390?			Zirconia	387		

MUCIC?		BORACIC.		NITROUS?		PHOSPHOROUS.	
Barita	900	Lime	537 *	Barita	450	Lime	
Lime	860	Barita	515 *	Potass	440	Barita	
Potass	484	Strontia	513 *	Soda	437	Strontia	
Soda	480	Magnesia	(459)*	Strontia	430	Potass	
Ammonia	431	Potass	482 *	Lime	425	Soda	
Glycina	425	Soda	479 *	Magnesia	410	Magnesia ?	
Alumina	420	Ammonia	430 *	Ammonia	400	Ammonia	
Zirconia	415	Glycina	388 *	Glycina	340	Glycina	
		Alumina	385 *	Alumina	336	Alumina	
		Zirconia	382 *	Zirconia	332	Zirconia	

CARBONIC.		PRUSSIC.	
Barita	420 *	Barita	400
Strontia	419 *	Strontia	
Lime	(423)*	Potass	300
Potass ?	306 *	Soda	298
Soda	304 *	Lime	290
Magnesia	(366)*	Magnesia	280
Ammonia	339 *	Ammonia	270
Glycina	325 *	Glycina ?	260
Alumina	323 *	Alumina ?	258
Zirconia	321 *	Zirconia ?	256